1	DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) United States Attorney	
3	HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	Richard Ewenstein (CABN 294649) Assistant United States Attorney	
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue	
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6842	
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 Richard.Ewenstein@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for United States of America	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. CR 14-287-JD
14	Plaintiff,	<del>[Proposed]</del> DETENTION ORDER
15	v.	
16	KEVIN FUQUA,	
17	Defendant.	
18		,
19	On February 7, 2019, United States Probation filed a Form 12 notice charging defendant Kevin	
20	Fuqua with a violation of the terms of his supervised release based on new criminal conduct alleged in	
21	case number 19-MJ-71669-MAG, specifically, sex trafficking of a minor. After the defendant initially	
22	waived findings, this matter came before the Court for a detention hearing on April 6, 2020. The	
23	defendant was present by telephone, with his consent, and represented by Harris Taback. Assistant	
24	United States Attorney Richard Ewenstein appeared for the Government. A representative from United	
25	States Probation also appeared. The hearing began on April 6 but was continued until April 14, 2020 for	

expected to be released from quarantine. On April 28, 2020, the Court and parties were informed that

further proceedings. On April 14, 2020, the defendant was in quarantine at Santa Rita Jail and unable to

appear. The parties agreed to continue the hearing until April 28, 2020, when the defendant was

26

27

28

the defendant remained in quarantine. However, Mr. Taback informed the Court that he had recently spoken with his client, and his client had agreed to waive his appearance at the detention hearing so that it could proceed on April 28, 2020. Mr. Taback, Mr. Ewenstein, and U.S. Probation Officer Morgan Calhoun each continued to appear.

The Government moved for detention on the basis that no condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure the safety of the community in light of the defendant's criminal history and conduct while on prior supervision. The defense opposed detention and proposed the defendant's release with one surety and one custodian. United States Probation recommended detention on the basis that no condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure the safety of the community.

Upon consideration of all of the facts and proffers presented at the hearing, the Court does not find by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant does not pose a danger to any other person or the community. Accordingly, the defendant must be detained pending resolution of the alleged supervised release violation in this matter. 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), Fed. R. Crim. Pro. 32.1.

This Order supplements the Court's findings at the detention hearing and serves as written findings of fact and statement of reasons. Given the nature of the crime alleged as the basis of the supervised release violation, as well as the history and characteristics of the defendant, the Court determines that, on the record before it, there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that can reasonably assure the safety of the community. In particular, the Court notes that the defendant was on federal supervised release in this case at the time he allegedly committed the offense charged in case number 19-MJ-71669-MAG.

Accordingly, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The defendant be, and hereby is, committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility;
  - 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to an authorized United States Marshal for the purpose of any appearance in connection with a court

## Case 4:14-cr-00287-JD Document 151 Filed 04/29/20 Page 3 of 3

proceeding. This Order is without prejudice to reconsideration at a later date if circumstances change. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: April <u>29</u>, 2020 United States Magistrate Judge